

Citation Intent Classification

Identifying the Intent of a Citation in scientific papers

Isaac Riley and Pavan Mandava

May 20, 2020



Task Description

- Identifying intent of a citation in scientific papers
- Three Intent categories/classes from the data set
 - 1 background (background information)
 - 2 method (use of methods/tools)
 - 3 result (comparing results)
- Classification Task
 - Assign a discrete class (intent) for each data point



Data set

- Training Data: 8.2K+ data points
 - 1 background - 4.8K
 - 2 method - 2.3K
 - 3 result - 1.1K
- Testing Data: 1.8K data points
 - 1 background - 1K
 - 2 method - 0.6K
 - 3 result - 0.2K



Approach & Architecture

Classifier Implementation

Base Classifier: **Perceptron**

- Linear Classifier
- Binary Classifier

class Perceptron:

```
def __init__(self, label: str, weights: dict, theta_bias: float)
def score(self, features: list)
def update_weights(self, features: list, learning_rate: float, penalize:
    ↪ bool, reward: bool)
```

class MultiClassPerceptron:

```
def __init__(self, epochs: int, learning_rate: float, random_state: int)
def fit(self, X_train: list, labels: list)
def predict(self, X_test: list)
```



Approach & Architecture

Feature Representation

Lexicons and Regular Expressions

- LEXICONS
- REGEX



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses reductio ad absurdum.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2
- 3
- 4 But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses reductio ad absurdum.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2 Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- 3
- 4 But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses reductio ad absurdum.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2 Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- 3 Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
- 4 But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.



A longer title

- one
- two



References I

